PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

REC'D	1	8	APR	2006
WIPO				PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference PXWO00090/2005			ee Form PCT/IPEA/416		
International application No. PCT/EP2005/001659	International filing date (day 16.02.2005	y/month/year)	Priority date <i>(day/month/year)</i> 17.02.2004		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or INV. C07D231/06 A61K31/415 A6	national classification and IPC 1P3/04 A61P25/30 A61P3	35/00			
Applicant LABORATORIOS DEL DR. ESTE	VE S.A. ET AL				
Authority under Article 35 and tr	ansmitted to the applicant a	ccording to Article 00.	International Preliminary Examining		
2. This REPORT consists of a total	al of 8 sheets, including this	cover sheet.			
3. This report is also accompanied	by ANNEXES, comprising:				
a. \square sent to the applicant and	a Consent to the applicant and to the International Bureau) a total of sheets, as follows:				
sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).					
sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.					
b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)), containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in celectronic form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).					
4. This report contains indications	s relating to the following ite	ms:			
☐ Box No. I Basis of the	report				
☐ Box No. II Priority					
☐ Box No. III Non-establis	hment of opinion with regar	d to novelty, inventive	step and industrial applicability		
	of invention				
☐ Box No. V Reasoned st applicability;	a via a compared to povolty, inventive step or industrial				
☐ Box No. VI Certain docu					
	cts in the international appli				
☐ Box No. VIII Certain obse	ervations on the internationa	ll application			
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of th	is report		
26.09.2005		12.04.2006			
Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:		Authorized officer	Gerhaches Patantem.		
European Patent Office -	Gitschiner Str. 103	Hoepfner, W	· 198085		
Tel. +49 30 25901 - 0 Fax: +49 30 25901 - 840	•	Telephone No. +49 30	25901-337		
1 47. 743 30 20001 0 13					

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/EP2005/001659

	Box No. I Basis of the report			
1.	With regard to the language , this report is based on the international application in the language in which i filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.			
	☐ This report is based on trans which is the language of a tra	lations from the original language into the following language , anslation furnished for the purposes of:		
	☐ international search (under publication of the international preliminary expressions)	er Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) ional application (under Rule 12.4) examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)		
2.	With regard to the elements * of the have been furnished to the receivage report as "originally filed" and are	the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which wing Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this a not annexed to this report):		
	Description, Pages			
	1-59	as originally filed		
	Claims, Numbers			
	1-35	as originally filed		
	Drawings, Sheets			
	1/2, 2/2	as originally filed		
	☐ a sequence listing and/or an	y related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing		
3.	☐ The amendments have resu	alted in the cancellation of:		
	☐ the description, pages☐ the claims, Nos.			
	the drawings, sheets/figs			
	☐ the sequence listing (spe☐ any table(s) related to se	equence listing <i>(specify)</i> :		
4	. This report has been estable had not been made, since they Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)	ished as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the).		
	☐ the description, pages☐ the claims, Nos.			
	☐ the drawings, sheets/figs☐ the sequence listing (sp			
	☐ any table(s) related to s	equence listing (specify):		
	* If item 4 applies, s	ome or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."		

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/EP2005/001659

_	Вох	No. IV Lack of unity of inve	ntion					
1.		 □ restricted the claims. □ paid additional fees. □ paid additional fees under protest. □ neither restricted nor paid additional fees. 						
	\boxtimes	Rule 68.1, not to invite the applicant to restrict or pay additional lees.						
3.	This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rules 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is							
	□ complied with.							
	\boxtimes	not complied with for the follow	ving rea	asons:				
	see separate sheet							
4.	. Consequently, this report has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application:							
	\boxtimes	☑ all parts.						
		the parts relating to claims No	s					
	Bo ap	ox No. V Reasoned stateme plicability; citations and expla	nt unde	er Article 35 as supportin	(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial g such statement			
1	. Sta	atement						
	No	ovelty (N)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-35			
	lnv	ventive step (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-35(part)			
	Ind	dustrial applicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-35			
2	2. Ci	itations and explanations (Rule	70.7):					

see separate sheet

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/EP2005/001659

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

Re Item IV

Lack of unity of invention

The international patent application WO-A-02080909 discloses derivatives of 1,5-diphenyl-4,5-dihydro-1H-pyrazol-3-carboxamide and their use in the treatment of cancer (see page 1, lines 14, 15, page 4, Formula (I); page 4, line 28, page 6, lines 31-34). These compounds have in common the same structural feature as the compounds of formula (I) of claim 1, namely 1,5-diphenyl-4,5-dihydro-1H-pyrazol having a carbonyl group at position 3.

Hence, the distinguishing feature between the said compounds of formula (I) and the said compounds of D1 has to be seen as the particular kind of substituent R³ at the carbonyl group, namely

- firstly a cyclic substituent and
- secondly a group -NR⁴R⁵.

However, with the presence of 2 different distinguishing features and with the umbrella of any common structural feature being lost, the subject-matter of the present claim 1 can no longer be regarded as being unitary within the meaning of Rule 13 PCT and is therefore split into 2 different inventions (non-unity *a posteriori*), the said inventions being as follows:

- provision of a compound of formula I having a substituent R³ represented by a cyclic group (invention #1) and
- provision of a compound of formula I having a substituent R³ represented by a group -NR⁴R⁵ (invention #2).

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- D1: WO 02/080909 A (LABORATORIOS DEL DR. ESTEVE, S.A; CUBERES-ALTISENT, MARIA ROSA; BERROC) 17 October 2002 (2002-10-17)
- D2: WO 88/06583 A (E.I. DUPONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY) 7 September 1988 (1988-09-07)
- D3: DATABASE CAPLUS [Online] CHEMICAL ABSTRACTS SERVICE, COLUMBUS, OHIO, US; 9 April 1991 (1991-04-09), XP002335745 retrieved from STN accession no. 1991:77052

- D4: DATABASE CAPLUS [Online] CHEMICAL ABSTRACTS SERVICE, COLUMBUS, OHIO, US; 19 October 2001 (2001-10-19), XP002335857 retrieved from STN Database accession no. 2001:915250
- D5: DATABASE CAPLUS [Online] CHEMICAL ABSTRACTS SERVICE, COLUMBUS, OHIO, US; 10 January 2003 (2003-01-10), XP002335858 retrieved from STN Database accession no. 1993:2433
- D6: DATABASE CAPLUS [Online] CHEMICAL ABSTRACTS SERVICE, COLUMBUS, OHIO, US; 22 April 2001 (2001-04-22), XP002335859 retrieved from STN Database accession no. 1958:40527
- D7: WO 92/03421 A (E.I. DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY) 5 March 1992 (1992-03-05)

Novelty

The document D1 discloses 3-aminocarbonyl derivatives of pyrazoline and their use in the preparation of medicaments for the treatment of cancer (see page 1, lines 14, 15, page 4, Formula (I); page 4, line 28, page 6, lines 31-34).

The documents D2, D3 and D5-D7 disclose various insecticidal derivatives of pyrazoline (see *D2*: page 3, lines 5-16; page 3, Formula 3 page 3, lines 30, 31; page 4, lines 4, 7; page 26, Table 1; *D7*: page 1, lines 11-13; pages 81-83; pages 90-95).

Lastly, the document D4 discloses the use of 1,5-diphenyl-2-pyrazoline-3-carboxanilide as starting material for the preparation of 1,5-diphenyl-2,3-pyrrolidinedione.

Although some of the compounds disclosed in the above-mentioned documents fall under the formula I of present claim 1, they are nevertheless excluded from the claimed subjectmatter by means of a disclaimer.

Consequently, for formal reasons, the presence of novelty has to be acknowledged for the subject-matter of the independent claims 1, 10, 11 and 29-35 and the dependent claims 2-9 and 12-28.

Inventive step

For the novel subject-matter the document D1 is regarded the closest prior art, since it

PCT/EP2005/001659

likewise addresses compounds being suitable for the treatment of cancer.

For the *first invention*, the distinguishing feature between the novel subject-matter and D1 is the presence of a cyclic substituent instead of the -NH2 group; for the *second invention* it is the fact that, at the nitrogen atom, there must not be present 2 hydrogen atoms at the same time (see related disclaimer in claim 1).

In the absence of any evidence for an unexpected technical effect linked to these features, the objective problem underlying the novel subject-matter can merely be seen as the provision of further compounds being suitable for the treatment of cancer.

The claimed solution to this very general problem was the modification of the 3-aminocarbonyl derivatives of D1 by replacing the amino group either with a cyclic group (*first invention*) or with an amino group other than NH₂ (*second invention*).

Since the first solution was not derivable from any of the documents on file, either read alone or in combination, for the novel subject-matter underlying the *first invention* the presence of inventive step has to be acknowledged, even in the absence of an *unexpected* technical effect.

It is already known from D1 that aminocarbonyl derivatives of pyrazoline are suitable for the treatment of cancer.

Since the Applicants have failed to provide evidence that the novel subject-matter underlying the *second invention* gives rise to an unexpected technical effect when compared to the solution provided by D1, the International Examining Authority fails to acknowledge the presence of inventive step for the said novel subject-matter.

Industrial applicability

There is no doubt that the subject-matter of the present claims 1-35 is industrially applicable.

Re Item VIII

Certain observations on the international application

The following phrases lack clarity: "optionally ... substituted" (claims 1, 11); combination of

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2005/001659

"optionally" and "preferably" (claim 35).

The present set of claims contains 6 independent use claims (claims 29-34). Bearing in mind that an independent claim must comprise all essential features, it appears consequently that this is not the case with the present 6 claims of the same category. An independent claim, however, which does not comprise all essential features, is not in line with Arts. 5 and 6 PCT.

The breadth of a claim should be such that it could be expected that all possibilities comprised would actually solve the problem underlying the application.

Consequently, a claim should only include such possibilities (and their reasonable generalisations) which have been made credible in the specification. It appears thus that the terms "aryl" and "heteroaryl" (claims 1, 11) go far beyond what has actually been verified in the worked Examples on file.

Moreover, a person skilled in the art cannot assume that all those possibilities which are presently comprised would be suitable in the sense of solving the present problem.

Apart from this, it appears that the subject-matter of claims 1 and 11 generally lacks support by the description, since the worked Examples on file solely refer to compounds wherein R^3 has the meaning -NR⁴R⁵.

This leads the reader automatically to the assumption that the invention does not work with compounds wherein R^3 has a meaning other than $-NR^4R^5$.

Any possibly novelty-destroying subject-matter should have been referred to in the description (see disclaimer in claim 1). This had also been in line with Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT.